repulsion between $M$ and $C$ ligands than between $M$ and $T$, with a repulsion ratio $R_{C} / R_{T} \simeq 1 \cdot 1$, which corresponds to the averaged bond-length ratio $\left.\left\langle\langle\mathrm{Mn}-L\rangle_{C} /\langle\mathrm{Mn}-L\rangle_{T}\right\rangle_{L}\right\rangle 1$ found for both isomers. Nevertheless, these bond lengths are also supposed to be conditioned by the cis and trans influences. On the other hand, theoretical force constants for both carbonyls have been calculated using the empirical formulae of Timney (1979), and are listed in Table 4 together with the corresponding X-ray bond distances and the observed IR bands for both cis-cis and cis-trans isomers. These results show that the predicted force constants do not correspond to the X -ray $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond distances; nevertheless, the $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds seem to
be more sensible to the environment, and are in agreement with the calculated force constants.
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#### Abstract

C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{PtS}_{2}, M_{r}=542 \cdot 26\), orthorhombic, $P b c a, a=22.087$ (4), $b=12.517$ (2), $c=$ 11.834 (2) $\AA, U=3272$ (1) $\AA^{3}, Z=8, D_{c}=2.20 \mathrm{Mg}$ $\mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mu(\mathrm{Cu} K a)=2.15 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. The structure was refined by least squares to $R=0.054$ for 1870 intensities. The Pt atom adopts a square-planar coordination geometry, being bonded to two Cl atoms arranged in a cis configuration and two $S$ atoms of the bidentate disulphoxide ligand. This ligand forms a five-membered ring with the Pt atom.


Introduction. Platinum(II) forms cyclic systems with bidentate, chelating ligands such as disulphoxides (Cattalini, Michelon, Marangoni \& Pelizzi, 1979). The title complex contains a disulphoxide ligand with an unsaturated centre, and the molecular structure was determined to discover whether any further interaction might arise between the carbon-carbon double bond and any of the Pt atoms.

The title complex was prepared by gently heating and stirring an ethanolic solution of cis- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{SOCH}=$ $\mathrm{CHSO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ with an excess of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{4}$ for 2 h (Filgueiras, 1981). A beige precipitate was collected and recrystallized from propane. A single crystal with dimensions $0.35 \times 0.21 \times 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a

Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer and cell dimensions were determined from the angular measurements of 15 strong reflections in the range $45.0<2 \theta<55 \cdot 0^{\circ} .2036$ intensities were recorded in the range $3.0<2 \theta<$ $125.0^{\circ}$ using graphite-monochromated Cu Ka radiation and a 96 -step $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique. Lp corrections, and empirical absorption corrections based on a pseudo-ellipsoid model were applied, and equivalent reflections were averaged to give 1870 unique observed intensities $[F>3 \sigma(F)]$.

The Pt atom was located from a Patterson map, and all the remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located by subsequent electron-density difference syntheses. The positions of the H atoms were calculated geometrically and they were constrained to ride $1.08 \AA$ from the relevant $C$ atom; the ethylene $H$ atoms and the $H$ atoms of each phenyl ring were assigned common isotropic temperature factors which refined to 0.05 (4), 0.08 (4), and $0.03(2) \AA^{2}$, respectively. The structure was refined to $R=0.054$ by blocked full-matrix least squares with all the non-hydrogen atoms assigned anisotropic thermal parameters. Complex neutral-atom scattering factors (International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography, 1974) and unit weights were employed throughout, since $w \Delta^{2}$ was fairly independent of

$$
\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{PtS}_{2}
$$

Table 1. Fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters
E.s.d.'s are given in parentheses and refer to the last decimal position. $U_{\text {eq }}=\frac{1}{3}$ of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathbf{U}$ matrix.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $U_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $0.15783(4)$ | $0.03315(7)$ | $0.19045(7)$ | $0.0450(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $0.0764(2)$ | $0.0453(6)$ | $0.3120(6)$ | $0.073(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $0.1788(3)$ | $-0.1407(5)$ | $0.2475(6)$ | $0.070(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $0.2337(2)$ | $0.0279(4)$ | $0.0707(4)$ | $0.041(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.2377(7)$ | $-0.0602(12)$ | $-0.0102(13)$ | $0.05(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.2281(10)$ | $0.1538(18)$ | $-0.0057(19)$ | $0.05(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.3038(8)$ | $0.0434(15)$ | $0.1391(15)$ | $0.03(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.3058(9)$ | $0.0748(15)$ | $0.2500(17)$ | $0.04(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.3668(10)$ | $0.0887(18)$ | $0.2989(21)$ | $0.06(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.4172(10)$ | $0.0688(16)$ | $0.2365(19)$ | $0.05(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.4137(10)$ | $0.0386(19)$ | $0.1269(18)$ | $0.05(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.3599(9)$ | $0.0251(18)$ | $0.0776(19)$ | $0.05(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $0.1379(2)$ | $0.1974(4)$ | $0.1350(5)$ | $0.044(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.1428(7)$ | $0.2831(13)$ | $0.2178(14)$ | $0.07(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.1864(10)$ | $0.2187(19)$ | $0.0185(20)$ | $0.06(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.0666(8)$ | $0.2019(15)$ | $0.0678(17)$ | $0.04(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $0.0221(10)$ | $0.2668(19)$ | $0.1131(20)$ | $0.06(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-0.0334(11)$ | $0.2686(21)$ | $0.0622(22)$ | $0.07(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $-0.0456(11)$ | $0.2080(18)$ | $-0.0292(20)$ | $0.06(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-0.0008(10)$ | $0.1444(20)$ | $-0.0727(21)$ | $0.06(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $0.0549(10)$ | $0.1401(19)$ | $-0.0227(20)$ | $0.06(1)$ |

Table 2. Bond distances ( $\AA$ )
E.s.d.'s are given in parentheses.

| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.308(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.326(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.195(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.203(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.46(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.82(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.76(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.26(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.37(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.46(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.48(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.36(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.35(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.33(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.46(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.77(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.76(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.38(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.35(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.37(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.35(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.37(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.37(3)$ |  |  |

Table 3. Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
E.s.d.'s are given in parentheses.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $92.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $177.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $90.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $88.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $179.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $89.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $119.4(7)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $104.0(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $109.4(10)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $111.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $109.4(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $101.1(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $119.6(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $120.0(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $120.2(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $119.8(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $115.9(18)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $120.9(22)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $121.6(23)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $120.5(21)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $121.3(20)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $118.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $104.6(7)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $110.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $111.6(10)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $110.3(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $100.7(11)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $118.6(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $120.7(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $120.7(21)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $118.5(23)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $121.5(24)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $119.0(24)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $120.7(25)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $119.7(25)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $121.5(20)$ |



Fig. 1. A packing diagram for the title compound.
$\left|F_{o}\right|$ and $\sin \theta$ with this scheme. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors are given in Table 1, bond lengths and angles in Tables 2 and 3.*

Discussion. The unit-cell contents are shown in Fig. 1. The shortest intermolecular non-bonded contact distance is $2.545(7) \AA[\mathrm{H}(22) \cdots \mathrm{H}(25)]$, and the shortest between two non-hydrogen atoms is 3.001 (7) $\AA$ $[C(12) \cdots O(1)]$. The phenyl rings in adjacent molecules lie approximately parallel to each other with an interplanar distance of ca 3.5 (1) $\AA$.

Fig. 2 illustrates a single molecule of the title complex and includes the atom-numbering scheme. The disulphoxide ligand is bonded to the Pt atom at two coordination sites through the S atoms. The average $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}$ distance of $2.198(5) \AA$ is not significantly different from the values found in cis- $\mid \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (mesoPhSOCH $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}$ ) [ 2.217 (2) and 2.209 (2) $\AA$ ] and cis $-\left\{\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{rac}-\mathrm{PhSOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}\right)|\quad| 2 \cdot 192(4)\right.$ and $2 \cdot 188$ (4) A] (Cattalini, Michelon, Marangoni \& Pelizzi, 1979). However, these distances are significantly shorter than those in the dimethyl sulphoxide complex cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)_{2}\right] \quad[2 \cdot 244$ (2) and $2 \cdot 229$ (2) $\AA$ ] (Melanson \& Rochon, 1975). The longer $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in the dimethyl sulphoxide complex may be due to ligand-ligand interactions which are absent in the disulphoxide complexes. The difference cannot be due to electronic effects since the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths range from 1.40 (2) $\AA$ in cis- $\mid \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}($ rac$\left.\mathrm{PhSOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}\right)$ to $1.470(8) \AA$ in cis$\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\right.\right.$ meso $\left.\left.-\mathrm{PhSOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}\right)\right]$. The dimethyl sulphoxide complex has values of 1.469 (6) and 1.454 (9) $\AA$, which are not significantly different from either of the two extreme values. The steric argument is supported by the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond angles. In the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ complex the angle is $87.58(9)^{\circ}$ and in the cis$\mathrm{PhSOCH}=\mathrm{CHSOPh}$ complex it is $92.1(3)^{\circ}$, which is significantly larger. Also, in the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ complex the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}$ angle is $91.03(8)^{\circ}$ and this angle in the cis $-\mathrm{PhSOCH}=\mathrm{CHSOPh}$ complex is $89.3(2)^{\circ}$, which

[^0]

Fig. 2. A molecule of the title complex with the atom-numbering scheme.

Table 4. Deviations ( $\AA$ ) of atoms from the leastsquares plane defined by $\mathrm{Pt}(1), \mathrm{S}(1), \mathrm{S}(2), \mathrm{Cl}(1), \mathrm{Cl}(2)$
(a) cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\right.$ (rac-cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{PhSOCH}=\mathrm{CHSOPh}\right)\right]$ (this work).
(b) $c i s-\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{rac}-\mathrm{PhSOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}\right)\right]$ (Cattalini, Michelon, Marangoni \& Pelizzi, 1979).
(c) cis- $-\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\right.$ meso $\left.-\mathrm{PhSOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}\right) \mid$ (Cattalini. Michelon, Marangoni \& Pelizzi, 1979).

|  | $(a)$ | $(b)$ | $(c)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $(b)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ | $0.012(2)$ | 0.011 | 0.000 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(1)$ | $-0.008(8)$ | -0.005 | 0.130 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(2)$ | $0.002(9)$ | -0.001 | -0.130 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $-0.008(11)$ | -0.001 | -0.121 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $0.002(12)$ | -0.005 | 0.121 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)^{*}$ | $-0.237(41)$ | 0.087 | 0.136 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)^{*}$ | $-0.335(41)$ | -0.496 | 0.670 |

* Atom not included in the calculation of the least-squares plane.
is significantly smaller. The saturated disulphoxide complexes also show significantly larger $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and smaller $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}$ angles than the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ complex.

The closest approach of a Pt atom to the centre of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond is an intramolecular distance of $3 \cdot 10$ (1) $\AA$. This is too long to indicate any interaction between the Pt and the $\pi$ system of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond. Presumably the stability of square-planar $\mathrm{Pt}^{11}$ is such
that the formation of a five-coordinate complex involving the unsaturated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond is not favoured. Also, the steric constraints of the disulphoxide ligand may prevent the formation of a five-coordinate complex.

The distances of the $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Cl}$ and C atoms from the least-squares plane defined by the Pt coordination shell in cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\right.$ rac-cis- $\left.\mathrm{PhSOCH}=\mathrm{CHSOPh})\right]$, cis$\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\right.\right.$ rac- $\left.\left.-\mathrm{PhSOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}\right)\right]$ (Cattalini, Michelon, Marangoni \& Pelizzi, 1979), and cis- $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\right.$ (meso$\mathrm{PhSOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}$ )] are given in Table 4. In the meso complex the coordination about the Pt is tetrahedrally distorted whereas in the other two complexes it is much closer to square planar. The C atoms in the racPhSOCH $\mathbf{2}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SOPh}$ complex are very dissimilar with one close to the plane and the other approximately $0.5 \AA$ out of the plane. The C atoms in the rac-cis-PhSOCH $=$ CHSOPh complex are both significantly out of the plane in the same direction, by approximately $0.25 \AA$. In each case this distortion must occur to relieve strain in the ring. It is possible that the reason for both the C atoms being out of the plane in the unsaturated ring is that the torsional rigidity of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond is governing the distortion in order to maintain an $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle which is not significantly different from the ideal angle of $120^{\circ}[119.6$ (18) and $\left.121 \cdot 5(20)^{\circ}\right]$.
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[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, and parameters associated with the H atoms have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36509 ( 14 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

